

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/480,974	01/11/2000	MATTHEW TODD HUPP	7905	4998	
27752 7	590 11/29/2001				
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY PATENT DIVISION IVORYDALE TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 474 5299 SPRING GROVE AVENUE			EXAMINER		
			CASTELLANO, STEPHEN J		
CINCINNATI,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3727		
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2001		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/480,974		Hupp	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Group Art Unit	
	Castell	wno	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	nrs on the cover sheet l	peneath the cor	respondence address—	
Period for Response		_		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	SET TO EXPIRE	3_{MONTH}	(S) FROM THE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by de Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, 	, a response within the statut	ory minimum of this	rty (30) days will be considered timely.	
Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9 1 4 0	1 and 10/17/01	ı		
☑ This action is FINAL.			•	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193 	for formal matters, pros 5 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 21	ecution as to t	he merits is closed in	
Disposition of Claims			·. ::	
☑ Claim(s)1- 13		is/are pe	ending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s) 11-13	1	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
□ Claim(s)		i	•	
Claim(s) 1-10				
□ Claim(s)				
• •		is/are ob		
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	are subj requiren		
Application Papers				
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	=			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		\square disapproved.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Examiner.			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number □ received in this national stage application from the International 	the priority documents h	ave been		
*Certified copies not received:			·	
Attachment(s)			_	
☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	o(s). 4	nterview Summa	ary PTO-413	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	• •	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94		Other		

Office Action Summary

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97) Application/Control Number: 09/480974 Page 1

Art Unit: 3727

1. Claims 11-13 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election

was made without traverse in Paper No. 3.

2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The specification doesn't state what is stated in lines 3-5 of claim 2 that said track and said seal being congruent for a sector of said perimeter and being spaced apart from said perimeter at a point circumferentially displaced from said sector. Applicant states that support is contained in the specification on page 3, lines 1-20 and pages 11-12. Applicant should specifically

describe the section of the specified portion of the specification that states the claim 2 limitation.

3. Claims 1-10 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 1 contains a misspelling of word "perimeter" in line 3. Appropriate correction is required.

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

6. Claim 1 recites the limitation "said perimeter" in line 4. There is no clear antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The body's aperture and the cover, each have a perimeter, it can't

Application/Control Number: 09/480974 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

be determined which perimeter is meant. Claims 2 and 3 contain further references to "said perimeter" which are indefinite for the same reason.

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 8. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hupp et al.('687) (Hupp).

Hupp discloses a container comprising a body having an aperture with a perimeter therearound, a cover having a perimeter therearound which corresponds to the perimeter around the aperture of the body, a seal formed by the mating of the top surface of bead (32) with the underside or inner surface of groove (34), the seal is at least partially juxtaposed to the perimeters of the body's aperture and the cover, and a slider (36) which is spaced apart from the seal in an axial direction rather than a radial direction, a track is formed by the upper surfaces or outer surfaces of groove (34) which the slider is in contact with and which restrict radial movement of the slider, the track is juxtaposed to, but spaced apart from the seal, the slider is movable within the track, an auxiliary track not juxtaposed with the seal is formed by the portions of the bead (32) situated behind the hinge line (45) in Fig. 1.

Application/Control Number: 09/480974

Art Unit: 3727

- 9. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by EPO reference No. ('170) to Schick et al. (Schick).
- 10. Claims 1-3, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WIPO reference No. ('995) to Schmaling et al. (Schmaling).

Schmaling discloses the use of one zipper (62) having complementary mating teeth portions, the zipper forms the seal when closed, there are two sliders (64) associated with the zipper, only one slider is needed to meet the claim limitation. When both of the sliders are moved from the open position shown in Fig. 1, there will be two portions of the seal, one portion formed by the engaged portions of the zipper associated with one of the sliders and another portion formed by the engaged portions of the zipper associated with the other slider. With respect to one of the sliders, there is a seal and track associated with the one slider and another seal and track not associated with the one slider.

- 11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 09/480974 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

final action.

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

13. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging

FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703)305-3579. This practice

may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which

require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the

examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into group 3720

will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Stephen J. Castellano whose telephone number is (703)-308-1035.

Stephen Castellano Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3727

November 14, 2001